Table 7-4: Distribution of Earthenwares, Trench 2

	FS#'s													
Туре	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	. N	%N
Creamware,														
plain	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	3.3
Pearlware,														
Plain	3	9	1	1	0	9	2	2	2	0	0	0	29	24.2
TP, blue	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	9	7.5
HP, poly	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	1.7
HP, mono	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.8
Shell, bl	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.8
annular	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	1.7
Whiteware,	07	0	_	,	,	_	,	_	,	7	0	_	70	05.0
plain	23	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	30	25.0
TP, blue	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	3.3
Ironstone,	7	0	0	2	Ω	0	0	1	\circ	0	0	0	10	0 7
plain wheat	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	8.3 0.8
Redware,	Т	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U		0.0
unglazed	Ω	٥	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2.6
iron oxid	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	.8
Other earth	9	0	1	0	n	3	4	0	4	·2	0	0	23	19.2
														17.2
Totals	59	10	2	4	1	15	8	4	9	8	0	0	120	100.0

Porcelains comprised 20.6% of the total ceramics collected by this project (N=40). Fifty two percent of the total consists of Canton blue on white (Table 7-5). Five percent of the total consists of a semi-porcelain gilded ware.

Table 7-5: Distribution of Porcelains, Trench 2

	FS# ' s													
Type	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Ν	%N
Canton Bl/W	13	2	0	0	0	1	0	3	1	1	0	0	21	52.5
Semi, gilt	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	5.0
Other	11	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	17	42.5
Totals	24	3	1	0	0	1	2	3	3	3	0	0	40	100.0

Mean ceramic dates (y) were calculated for each field specimen using South's (1977) formula:

$$y = f(x)$$

where f is the ceramic type frequency and x is the median manufacturing date.